

Current Issues in the Regulation of Judicial Ethics

Sarah M. R. Cravens

Professor of Law and Assoc. Dean for Research & Faculty Development

University of Arkansas School of Law

Written Materials for Oklahoma Judicial Conference

July 8, 2026

I. SELECTION ISSUES

The Supreme Court of Florida suspended without pay for 10 days and publicly reprimanded a judge for disseminating unverified accusations about her campaign opponent and for challenging the tax-exempt status of a church at which her opponent had campaigned (sending a copy of the complaint to the church with the false return address which made it appear that the correspondence came from the IRS itself).

See In re Levey Cohen, Nos. 2022-429, 2022-461 & 2022-502 (Fla. May 8, 2025), available at https://supremecourt.flcourts.gov/content/download/2451681/opinion/Opinion_SC2024-0992.pdf.

The Florida JQC has recommended a 30-day suspension, reprimand, \$10,000 fine, and costs in a matter involving a judge who (as a lawyer-candidate), attempting to gain a newspaper endorsement submitted a fake recording to the editorial board purporting to be a conversation between justices of the state supreme court and the chief of one of the appellate courts, discussing an image crisis in the judiciary she alleged existed.

See Inquiry Concerning Peffer, SC2025-0647, Florida JQC (Feb. 27, 2026), available at <https://acis-api.flcourts.gov/courts/68f021c4-6a44-4735-9a76-5360b2e8af13/cms/case/ac1415dc-cff8-4197-9e1f-db5cd39262a3/docketentrydocuments/84defc6d-3eca-452f-8a4f-2f9e5236b6ba>.

The Supreme Court of Louisiana removed a judge for making false and misleading statements about her past military service during her campaign. (They found she had also made false statements in connection with a reported burglary and during the investigation and proceedings related to the disciplinary matter.)

See In re Judge Tiffany Foxworth-Roberts, No. 2025-O-01127 (La. Dec. 11, 2025), available at <https://www.lasc.org/opinions/2025/25-1127.O.OPN.pdf>.

Another Louisiana judge was suspended without pay for thirty days and charged with certain costs of proceedings for violations of campaign rules in connection with a false and misleading video ad about her opponent (and continued public references to material in the ad after a TRO was granted to stop it airing); as well as financial matters connected with the production of the video that were in violation of campaign conduct rules.

See In re Judge Jennifer M. Medley, No. 2025-O-00879 (La. Oct. 24, 2025), available at <https://www.lasc.org/opinions/2025/25-0879.O.OPN.pdf>.

In New York, the CJC censured a supreme court justice who failure to disclose or to disqualify himself in cases involving lawyers/firms engaged on his re-election committee or otherwise involved in fundraising efforts for his re-election campaign.

See Matter of Muller, Determination, NY Commission on Judicial Conduct (March 28, 2025), available at <https://cjc.ny.gov/Determinations/M/Muller.Robert.J.2025.03.28.DET.pdf>.

The Supreme Court of Ohio has changed its approach on endorsement of political candidates, on First Amendment grounds.

See Disciplinary Counsel v. Rudduck, Slip. Opinion No. 2026-Ohio-1126 (April 2, 2026), *available at* <https://www.supremecourt.ohio.gov/rod/docs/pdf/0/2026/2026-ohio-1126.pdf>.

The Supreme Court of Florida resolved multiple misconduct matters by stipulation, resulting in a 10-day suspension without pay, a fine, and a public reprimand. The judge had, from the bench asked an attorney at the conclusion of hearing a matter why he had not returned her call about serving on her re-election committee. The judge had also made multiple (prohibited) financial contributions to political candidates as well as engaged in prohibited ex parte communications. In light of the multiple and varied violations and the judge’s prior discipline, the court was particularly concerned.

See Inquiry Concerning Moon, No. SC2025-0071 (Fla. July 17, 2025), *available at* https://supremecourt.flcourts.gov/content/download/2454803/opinion/Opinion_SC2025-0071.pdf.

II. ON THE BENCH

Abuse of Position/Power

A former California Commissioner was publicly admonished for, among other things, telling a litigant he was “an authority higher than God,” issuing and modifying orders improperly, and engaging in a dramatic/demonstrative acts to make a point.

See Public Admonishment of Driessen, California Commission on Judicial Performance (Dec. 11, 2025), *available at* https://cjp.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/40/2025/12/Driessen_Pub_Adm_12-11-25.pdf.

A Washington judge who is the presiding judge and responsible for hiring for the court agreed to a reprimand in a matter in which she stipulated to her violation of relevant rules in a matter involving the hiring of both her son and her daughter, each of whom were living in her household at the time of their hiring and employment with the court. While the prohibition was not an absolute bar, the factors in this case, as the judge acknowledged, were such that a reasonable viewer would perceive that the children received their jobs because of their relationship with the judge.

See In re Tveit, Stipulation, Agreement and Order of Reprimand, No. 11462-F-216, Wash. CJC (Nov. 19, 2025), *available at* https://www.cjc.state.wa.us/materials/activity/public_actions/2025/11462StipFINAL.pdf.

The Kentucky JCC agreed to a public reprimand for a judge who abused his contempt power in multiple matters and displayed improper demeanor, temperament, and so on.

See In re Bevins, Agreed Public Reprimand, Kentucky JCC (Mar. 19, 2026), *available at* <https://www.kycourts.gov/Courts/JCC%20Actions%20Documents/2026PublicReprimandBevins.pdf>.

A Texas justice of the peace was reprimanded for issuing a summons requiring an individual to appear before her in court because she wished to speak with him about a personal dispute he was having with another individual over joint-owned property. This was not a matter officially before her in any capacity. Nor was it the first time she had issued a summons as a means to help members of her community resolve personal disputes.

See Public Reprimand of Garza, CJC No. 25-0303, Texas CJC (May 5, 2025), *available at* <https://www.scjc.texas.gov/media/jiifgl3i/garza25-0303public-reprimand-signed.pdf>.

Failure to Follow the Law

A Nevada judge failed (or refused) to comply with the law when she refused to enter judgment and refused to remand a defendant as ordered by the Supreme Court (which had reversed her prior ruling), and failed to take action on a motion to recuse, ultimately requiring the Supreme Court to direct the reassignment of the underlying case to a different judge. This initially resulted in an 18 month suspension without pay, with the final 12 months of the suspension itself conditionally suspended so long as the judge completed certain training. (She did not do so, however, so the full suspension must be served, which will continue through the end of her term.)

See Matter of Ballou, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Imposition of Discipline, Case No. 91339 (Nev. Sep. 22, 2025), *available at*

<https://judicial.nv.gov/uploadedFiles/judicialnv.gov/content/Discipline/Dicisions/2025.09.22%20Certified%20Copy%20of%20Findings%20of%20Fact,%20Conclusions%20of%20Law%20and%20Imposition%20of%20Discipline%202024-103-P%20and%202024-105-P.pdf?csrt=10729678057687488905>.

Another Nevada judge engaged in independent research on facts relevant to the case and used the results as the basis for a decision, resulting in a stipulated order of admonishment.

See Matter of Davis, Stipulation and Order of Consent to Public Admonishment, Case No. 90058 (Nev. Feb. 2, 2025), *available at*

<https://judicial.nv.gov/uploadedFiles/judicialnv.gov/content/Discipline/Dicisions/2025.02.04%20Certified%20Copy%20of%20Stipulation%20and%20Order%20of%20Consent%20to%20Public%20Admonishment%202024-031-P%20.pdf>.

A New York county court judge dismissed a ticket for her co-judge's daughter without disclosing the relationship and also invoked her judicial position in conversation with the deputy court clerk of another court in trying to deal with a traffic case for her own daughter.

See Matter of Loehr, Determination, NY Commission on Judicial Conduct, (Mar. 28, 2025), *available at*

<https://cjc.ny.gov/Determinations/L/Loehr.Melissa.A.2025-03-28.DET.pdf>.

Sexual Harassment

The Minnesota Board on Judicial Standards publicly reprimanded a judge who had retired during the pendency of its investigation. The Board found that the judge had engaged in inappropriate sexual contact with a law clerk both while she was in his employ and after, both in chambers and elsewhere. They also found multiple instances of inappropriate comments and physical behaviors of a sexual nature on the judge's part with respect to other law clerks.

See Matter of Quam, Public Reprimand, File No. 25-05, MN Bd. On Jud'l Stds (April 25, 2025), *available at*

https://www.bjs.state.mn.us/file/2505_Public%20Reprimand.pdf.

Delay/Failure to Respond

In California, a public censure was imposed, pursuant to a stipulation, in a matter involving decisional delays, but perhaps even more notably, involving false salary affidavits related to those delays.

See Matter Concerning Heryford, Decision and Order Imposing Public Censure Pursuant to Stipulation, Calif.

Comm'n on Judicial Perf. (Oct. 21, 2025), *available at* https://cjp.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/40/2025/10/Heryford_DO_Censure_Stip_10-21-2025.pdf.

A former judge in California received a public censure and a bar against future judicial service in connection with a finding of a ten year pattern of chronic decisional delay and neglect. (In 132 cases, delays post assignment/full-briefing stage ranged from 3 to 9 years before resolution.)
See Matter of Murray, Decision and Order Imposing Public Censure and Bar Pursuant to Stipulation, Calif. Comm'n on Judicial Perf. (Oct. 10, 2025), *available at* https://cjp.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/40/2025/12/Murray_DO_Censure_12-10-25.pdf.

A Missouri judge failed to timely rule in multiple cases, and also failed to respond timely to the Commission, failed to submit documents, and so on. Although the Commission recommended a six month unpaid suspension, the Supreme Court imposed a one year unpaid suspension.
See In re McGaugh, Original Disciplinary Proceeding, No. SC100875 (Mo. Feb. 28, 2025), *available at* <https://www.courts.mo.gov/file.jsp?id=217974>.

A Georgia probate judge has been removed for what the state supreme court called his repeated failures to resolve citizens' disputes. The court took particular exception to the respondent judge's attempt to blame his failures on a lack of resources and staffing, and noted the increases in funding, staffing, and other resources he had received but refused to use to correct the issues.
See In re Bordeaux, Response to Respondent's Notice of Exceptions to the Hearing Panel's Report and Recommendation, Case No. S25Z0219 (Ga. Feb. 17, 2026), *available at* <https://assets.alm.com/3b/a5/bb1fec134220b817e7975abd8b7f/s25z0219-appellant-response-brief.pdf>.

Ex Parte Communication

The New York Commission removed a town court judge who engaged in multiple improper ex parte communications in separate matters, issued an order in one of those matters after it was no longer before his court, and gave false testimony and deleted over 500 emails from his court email account after he had been told to preserve them for the Commission's investigation.
See Matter of Lilley, Determination, NY Comm'n on Jud'l Cond. (Feb. 17, 2026), *available at* <https://cjc.ny.gov/Determinations/L/Lilley.Jordon.R.2026.02.17.DET.pdf>.

Bias

A New York city court judge was censured for creating the appearance of impropriety and bias when she asked her court staff to reschedule the marriage of a same-sex couple to a different day so she wouldn't have to perform it, even though she did officiate the marriage of an opposite-sex couple on the same (rescheduled) day.
See Matter of Pitts-Davis, Determination, NY Comm'n on Jud'l Cond. (Feb. 19, 2025), *available at* <https://cjc.ny.gov/Determinations/P/Pitts-Davis.K.Felicia.2026.03.16.DET.pdf>.

Note that a Texas Court decided a similar issue in the opposite direction, though -
See Hensley v. State Comm'n on Jud'l Conduct, *available at* <https://aboutblaw.com/bkZF>.

A public admonishment was imposed on a former California judge based on a finding that he had conveyed the appearance of embroilment and bias and showed discourtesy to a victim of domestic violence during a hearing.
See Matter of Cochran, Decision and Order Imposing Public Admonishment, Calif. Comm'n on Jud'l Perf. (Mar. 16, 2026), *available at* https://cjp.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/40/2025/02/Cochran_DO_Pub_Adm_2-19-25.pdf.

Demeanor/Speech

A Texas municipal judge presiding over a juvenile docket was publicly reprimanded for regularly berating and demeaning the juveniles appearing before her, yelling at their parents, expressing openly her fairly horrifying and graphic wishes for unfortunate things that might befall them, treating those before her differently on the basis of ethnicity, income, and/or personal friendships with her, and so on.

See Public Reprimand of Eggen, CJC Nos. 22-0515 & 22-1411, Texas CJC (Oct. 20, 2025), *available at* <https://www.scjc.texas.gov/media/0xmhlgxp/eggen22-0515-22-1411-final-pubrepr-signed.pdf>.

The Florida JQC recommended a public reprimand for a judge for inappropriate speech in two matters. In one, from the bench (during jury selection but outside the presence of the jury) the judge scolded two public defenders in an unprofessional manner. In the other, while presiding over a plea hearing, the judge engaged in ill-considered (and wholly unnecessary) comments and questions with the defendant and the defendant's relative who was present in court.

See Inquiry Concerning Jordan, Findings and Recommendations of Discipline, Nos. 2025-553, 2025-845, Florida JQC (Mar. 26, 2026), *available at* <https://acis-api.flcourts.gov/courts/68f021c4-6a44-4735-9a76-5360b2e8af13/cms/case/954b5d12-868b-446d-b907-25476f6b4499/docketentrydocuments/bea9c21d-238a-4b23-be35-719ae1c8fff6>.

A California judge presiding over criminal matters was admonished for public remarks in multiple matters about shooting people in the courtroom. (He also had a pattern of other inappropriate remarks from the bench – to victims, prospective jurors, defendants, attorneys – well beyond shooting.) There were also issues in this matter related to abuse of authority and ex parte communication, all occurring over a period of nearly five years.

See Public Admonishment of Monguia, Calif. Comm'n on Jud'l Perf. (Aug. 28, 2025), *available at* <https://cjp.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/40/2025/08/Monguia-Public-Admonishment.pdf>.

Conduct in Chambers / With Staff

An Alaska judge was reprimanded for multiple matters of misconduct, including delay of court proceedings to watch televised sporting events (and lying to the attorneys about that delay), and inappropriately attempting to reenact in the presence of court staff the testimony of those who had appeared in court, including persons who were part of ethnic groups other than his own.

See Matter of DiBenedetto, ACJC File No. 2025-001, AK Comm'n on Jud'l Cond. (July 3, 2025), *available at* <https://acjc.alaska.gov/docs/2025-001SupremeCourtFiling.pdf>.

A Nebraska judge was reprimanded for inappropriate demeanor and language both on and off the bench. In addition to specific misconduct in court, the commission detailed highly inappropriate communications with court staff about other judges and court personnel, attorneys, and other persons appearing before the court.

See Matter of Novak, JQC No. 2023-022, Case No. S-26-0214, Neb. Comm'n on Jud'l Qualifications (Mar. 27, 2026), *available at* https://nebraskajudicial.gov/sites/default/files/Administration/JQC-2023-22_S-26-0214_Public-Reprimand_03.27.2026.pdf.

In New Mexico, the Supreme Court censured a magistrate judge for inappropriate (non-sexual) touching and personal comments made to a staff person regarding weight, hair, and other aspects of personal appearance.

See Matter of Valdez, JSC Inquiry No. 2024-003, No. S-1-SC-40571 (N.M. Jan. 27, 2025), *available at* <https://nmonesource.com/nmos/nmosc/en/item/531086/index.do>.

In a case involving a California judge, a stipulated resolution imposed a severe public censure, an irrevocable resignation from office and assurance of never seeking or accepting future judicial work. The judge in this case had become entangled with his assistant and had been practicing law on her behalf in many matters (which was clearly prohibited for his role), creating fake letterhead for a non-existent law practice. He misused his court email account in his personal exchanges with his assistant, improperly performed HR functions, and so on.

See Inquiry Concerning Carrozzo, Stipulation for Discipline by Consent, Calif. Comm'n on Jud'l Perf. (Apr. 7, 2025), available at https://cjp.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/40/2025/04/Carrozzo_DO_Censure_4-17-25.pdf.

Multiple Matters / On and Off

In West Virginia, the JIC publicly admonished a former magistrate for various rule violations in connection with two instances of misconduct. On the bench, the magistrate allowed a criminal co-defendant's non-attorney (co-defendant) spouse to advocate for her at counsel table, over prosecution objections. Off the bench, he took his girlfriend with him to jail to hold arraignments, telling a correctional officer that she was his assistant (which she was not).

See Matter of DeHaven, Complaint Nos. 234-2024 & 237-2024, Judicial Investigation Comm'n of W.Va. (June 6, 2025), available at <https://www.courtswv.gov/sites/default/pubfiles/mnt/2025-06/Dehaven%20Admonishment.pdf>.

The Supreme Court of Louisiana suspended a judge without pay for six months and assigned anger management classes as a result of multiple issues of misconduct, both on and off the bench. On the bench, he was found to have engaged in ex parte communications and improperly signed a TRO without a petition having been filed. Off the bench, dash and body cam footage captured both the fact the judge ran a red light and that he aggressively harassed and attempted to intimidate the officer who stopped him, not just invoking his status as a judge, but promising to ruin the officer's credibility with other local judges.

See In re Judge Royale Colbert, No. 2025-O-00994 (La. Dec. 11, 2025), available at <https://www.lasc.org/opinions/2025/25-0994.O.OPN.pdf>.

The Louisiana Supreme Court suspended a judge without pay for nine months for an array of misconduct including both on and off the bench conduct. The court found problems with improper demeanor in court (being condescending, cutting people off); misuse of a court vehicle (taking improper advantage of a court car for her continuous personal use for several weeks at a time); improper advocacy (unilaterally acquiring evidence unseen by parties to a case and admitting it); and failure to follow the law (e.g. ordering, against the provisions of criminal procedure, ten domestic violence defendants to be released ROR).

See In re Judge Sheva Sims, No. 2025-O-01449 (La. Mar. 6, 2026), available at https://judiciarycommissionla.org/Documents/Dispositions/0397_FFCL_Rec_of_Discipline.pdf.

III. OFF THE BENCH

Abuse of Position, Power, Prestige

A New York judge was censured for two counts of misconduct, one of which was misuse of her judicial title in a personal email address.

See Matter of Kesick, Determination, NY Comm'n on Jud'l Cond. (Feb. 20, 2026), *available at* <https://cjc.ny.gov/Determinations/K/Kesick.Susan.L.2026.02.20.DET.pdf>.

A North Carolina judge improperly invoked his judicial status during a DWI stop. This along with other aspects of the matter resulted in a censure.

See In re Kimble, No. 321A24 (N. Car. May 23, 2025), *available at* <https://appellate.nccourts.org/opinions/?c=1&pdf=44751>.

A New York city court judge was censured for two instances of abuse of his judicial position: one in the attempt to influence law enforcement to stop booting a friend's car, and the other in invoking his title during a board of education meeting with respect to a discussion relating to selection of high school valedictorians and his son's potential selection.

See Matter of Klein, Determination, NY Comm'n on Jud'l Cond. (May 29, 2025), *available at* <https://www.cjc.ny.gov/Determinations/K/Klein.Corey.E.2025.05.29.DET.pdf>.

A Texas municipal court judge was admonished for speaking out publicly, identified by his judicial title, about his strong position against personal recognizance bonds, particularly in light of the fact that he also represents clients in the surety bond industry.

See Public Admonition of Gonzalez, CJC No. 22-0998, Texas CJC (Aug. 14, 2025), *available at* <https://www.scjc.texas.gov/media/ljijwk22/gonzalez22-0998final-public-admonition-signed.pdf>.

Sexual Harassment

A South Carolina judge, who had resigned prior to conclusion of the disciplinary matter, received a reprimand for showing a subordinate employee multiple pornographic photographs in the workplace and requesting that she comment on them.

See In re Crook, Public Reprimand, Opinion No. 28320 (S. Car. March 18, 2026), *available at* <https://www.sccourts.org/media/opinions/HTMLFiles/SC/28320.pdf>.

Nonconsensual sexual touching of a subordinate employee resulted in removal of a New Jersey judge. The judge objected to the sanction, arguing that prior cases had resulted only in shorter suspensions. However, the court noted that the cases cited were from a prior era, and more recent cases had resulted in more serious outcomes.

See Matter of Hoffman, D-90-23, 089279 (N.J. March 10, 2025), *available at* https://www.njcourts.gov/system/files/court-opinions/2025/d_90_23.pdf.

Criminal Conduct

A Minnesota judge who was arrested for DWI – fully complied with the arresting officer and did not invoke her judicial title – self-reported, and had no disciplinary history. Still received a public reprimand, because the failure to comply with the law is still a violation of the rules.

See Matter of Worke, Public Reprimand, File Nos. 25-41, 25-43, 25-46, MN Bd of Jud’l Stds (Feb. 11, 2026), available at <https://www.bjs.state.mn.us/file/Public%20Reprimand%20-%20Worke.pdf>.

The Texas CJC indefinitely suspended a judge who was indicted for a count of Official Oppression for an incident involving a physical altercation with a delivery driver.

See Inquiry Concerning Mitchell, Order of Suspension, CJC No. 26-0331, TX Comm’n on Jud’l Cond. (Nov. 18, 2025), available at <https://www.scjc.texas.gov/media/ripf0hpa/william-mitchell-order-of-suspension-indictment.pdf>.

The Texas CJC indefinitely suspended another judge who was indicted on a variety of charges related to an incident in which he was practicing law (which was prohibited for his judicial role), when he allegedly assaulted an attorney (hit her) during plea negotiations, coerced a witness/victim of a family violence case, intentionally concealed a government record, hindered an arrest, and so on.

See Inquiry Concerning Britton, Order of Suspension, CJC No. 26-0402, TX Comm’n on Jud’l Cond. (Nov. 25, 2025), available at <https://www.scjc.texas.gov/media/qprnlsxz/website-britton-suspension-order-signed-with-indictments.pdf>.

Miscellaneous

A California judge was admonished for repeated unauthorized entry into other judges’ chambers.

See Matter of Mitchell, Decision and Order Imposing Public Admonishment, Calif. Comm’n on Jud’l Perf. (Feb. 11, 2025), available at https://cjp.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/40/2025/02/Mitchell_Pub_Admon_2-11-25.pdf.

A Washington judge pro tempore misappropriated a seal and signature stamp to create and use fraudulent documentation to get a discounted parking rate to which he was not entitled. The judge objected to the sanction of removal.

See In re Ruzumna, No. 202261-8 (Wash., en banc, April 9, 2026), available at https://www.cjc.state.wa.us/materials/activity/public_actions/2025/11424SupremeCourtOrder.pdf.

A Mississippi judge was removed, fined, and charged with costs for violations related to his Facebook and other social media postings about pending and impending cases, political issues, current events, and endorsements of candidates. The judge also appeared on a nationally broadcast television program to promote an alternative sentencing accountability program, during which he made what the court found to be racially divisive comments. The judge had been previously disciplined for related misconduct in the context of which he had signed an MOU regarding future public posts and comments, which the court found he violated.

See Miss. Comm’n on Jud’l Perf. v. Moore, No. 2024-JP-00121-SCT (Miss. Jan. 16, 2025), available at <https://courts.ms.gov/images/Opinions/CO179394.pdf>.

The Texas commission indefinitely suspended a senior judge who was sitting by assignment, based on his failure to complete the prior year’s required judicial education.

See Inquiry Concerning Guerra, Order of Suspension, CJC No. 26-0193, TX Comm’n on Jud’l Cond. (Feb. 5, 2026), available at <https://www.scjc.texas.gov/media/omyjdmvc/guerra-order-of-suspension.pdf>.

The Florida Supreme Court reprimanded a judge for multiple violations that arose out of an incident in which he improperly represented a friend in a first appearance after an arrest for domestic violence. In this context, not only was there the basic issue of the prohibited practice of law, but also using his status to advance the interests of another, and also voluntarily testifying as a character witness.

See Inquiry Concerning Clermont, No. SC2025-1319 (Fla. Mar. 12, 2026), available at <https://acis-api.flcourts.gov/courts/68f021c4-6a44-4735-9a76-5360b2e8af13/cms/case/098cfc29-98d1-4729-871a-12e8bfc775dc/docketentrydocuments/e152a332-e37b-43ae-ab7a-9a3e4fddb8be>.

A New York non-attorney judge was admonished by the state commission for making over 200 prohibited donations to political organizations and candidates, totaling over \$6,000, over a period of 18 years. The judge admitted ignorance of the rules.

See Matter of Ridgeway, Determination, NY Comm'n on Jud'l Cond. (Dec. 18, 2024), available at <https://cjc.ny.gov/Determinations/R/Ridgeway.James.H.2024.12.18.DET.pdf>.

In West Virginia, the JIC publicly admonished a magistrate who had resigned and agreed not to seek future judicial office, in connection with misconduct that occurred while he was on the bench in 2025. On multiple occasions, the magistrate left during work hours to gamble at a casino, and on several occasions took a subordinate employee with him (discussing doing so on their work email accounts).

See Matter of Luoni, No. 80-2025, Judicial Investigation Comm'n of W.Va. (Aug. 27, 2025), available at <https://www.courtswv.gov/sites/default/pubfiles/mnt/2025-08/LuoniAdmonishment.pdf>.

IV. SPECIAL FOCUS – JUDGES UNDER THREAT – RELEVANT RULES

See Power Point