

	Accountability & Transparency	CIP Assessment	Structural Review	Review of Reviews	Ad Hoc Review
Purpose	The purpose of reviews is to assess whether ICANN is fulfilling its Mission, Commitments and Core Values as set out in the ICANN Bylaws in a transparent and accountable manner, by reviewing:				
	ICANN's execution of its commitments in its Articles of Incorporation, Bylaws, Strategic Plan and Financial and Operational Plan	The results of the SO/AC/NC Continuous Improvement Program as they relate to each SO/AC/NC and to each other	Whether the overall structure and components of ICANN and its SOs, ACs and NC are fit for purpose	Whether the ongoing reviews in the future are fit for purpose	
Objectives	Option 1: Based on priorities, establish scope for a review of a specified strategic goal or initiative, to look at the accountability and transparency, and to confirm whether what was delegated was completed efficiently Option 2: Focus on assessing how activities progressed on the Strategic Plan and if such progress has been accomplished in line with Commitments and Core Values	Lightweight effort to: • confirm CIPs were completed in adherence to Framework • test CIP outputs to ensure conclusions are supported • compare CIP outputs to identify good practices that may be beneficial to groups or serve to enhance collaboration • ensure actions are followed through on as intended	Option 1: Focused on structure of the ICANN multistakeholder model as a system, to recommend changes as needed to improve effectiveness or address a specific gap or need (e.g., need for a new structure).Does not cover internal structures of existing SO/ACs unless specifically requested Discusses structural issue(s) at the request of SO or AC and where SO/AC Leaders determine issue meets threshold. Option 2: Mandatory review examining structures in terms of dynamics, placement, composition, and representation, plus overall structural coherence and effectiveness. Includes associated Board composition and decision-making processes.	Audit of the review system to assess whether it is performing as intended and is still fit for the purpose of enhancing accountability and transparency	Discuss an emerging topic that meets the criteria for a review, specifically one that does not involve policy considerations, and cannot be adequately addressed through other mechanisms.

	Accountability & Transparency	CIP Assessment	Structural Review	Review of Reviews	Ad Hoc Review
Cadence	Set cadence: every five years	Set cadence based on CIP cycles (every three / six years)	Set cadence (every 10 years). An occurrence can be canceled based on community agreement May be prompted out of cadence through ad hoc review process	Should threshold be met, set cadence OR Requires positive support by community to occur	Should threshold be met, on demand review
Initiated by (i.e., “who pushes the button”)	Board	N/A	Board [could consult with community]	Board [could consult with community]	SO/AC Leaders [would consult with Board/Org/Community on estimated resources and timeline]
Scoping	Variable scoping to be pre-agreed through process	Fixed scoping	Fixed scoping as baseline, scope can be updated in case of SO/AC request	Fixed scoping	Variable scoping to be pre-agreed through process
Thresholds	N/A	N/A	Yes - SO/AC Leaders determine agreement	TBD	Yes
Review conducted by	TBD	Cross Community Group	Cross Community Group (CEO, community leaders), assisted by expert	Cross Community Group (CEO, community leaders), assisted by expert	TBD (Dependent on agreed scope)
To whom are recs made	Board	The relevant SO/AC or Nom Com	SO/AC then Board OR Structures directly	SO/AC then Board	Board and/or depends on reason for review
Corresponding current review	ATR				CCT, SSR, RDSR
Where established (Bylaws, etc.)					
Notes		*Does not include a review		*Could be used as the	

	Accountability & Transparency	CIP Assessment	Structural Review	Review of Reviews	Ad Hoc Review
		of the CIP itself Should the Board undertake a CIP in accordance with the CIP principles?		mechanism for review of the CIP itself. *Could be an ad hoc review rather than its own bucket *Could be recommended by ATR to occur as an ad hoc review	