

Building a Scalable Test Automation Framework

in the Age of AI

TypeScript

Playwright

AI

```
$ wetest-conference --year 2026 --location athens 🚩
```



Meet the Speakers



Lars de Bruijn

Test Automation Engineer

 ~5 years in QA

 TypeScript ·
Playwright

 AI-assisted testing

 TechChamps

 Guitar

 Homelab



Marco Maes

Test Automation Architect

 ~15 years in QA

 TypeScript ·
Playwright

 Trainer

 Polteq

 Guitar hero 

 Photographer

**Most test suites collapse
because there's no scalable foundation
to build on.**

AI accelerates output, but it also accelerates technical debt.

"If you think good architecture is expensive, try bad architecture."

– Brian Foote & Joseph Yoder

The Goal



Maintainable

Change one thing,
break nothing



Scalable

100 tests or 10,000 –
same confidence



AI-Ready

Patterns AI can follow
and extend correctly

What We'll Cover



Folder
Structure

#1



Playwright
Config

#2



Page Object
Model

#3



Parallel
Workers

#4



Storage
State

#5



Hooks &
Fixtures

#6



Global Setup
& Teardown

#7



Test
Sharding

#8



Design
Patterns

#9



AI Tips
& Tricks

#10

```
$ ls ./framework --topic
```



Folder Structure

```
# "A Folder Layout that Shields Tests from Internal Complexity"
```

Two Worlds, One Repo



tests/

WHAT TO TEST

The intent. The business flows.
A new team member reads this
and understands the product.

ui/ · api/



support/

HOW TO TEST IT

The mechanics. Selectors, helpers,
config, page objects, utilities.
The engine under the hood.

pages/ · utils/ · config/ · environment/

Tests should read like specifications. Support handles the rest.



Let's see the code

SWITCHING TO THE IDE

```
bash - wetest-slidev  
  
$ ls tests/ support/
```

//  FOLDER STRUCTURE

```
$ cat playwright.config.ts
```



Playwright Config

```
# "Centralized Config for Multi-Project Scaling"
```

The Config is the Contract

// one file defines the rules every test plays by



Test Behaviour

Timeouts · Retries
Parallelism · Reporters



Browser & App

Base URL · Devices
Selectors · Tracing



Projects

Auth setup → Chrome
Multi-browser · Roles

```
// key decisions made here
testIdAttribute: 'data-test'
trace: 'on'
retries: CI ? 1 : 0
```

// project dependency chain

- setup project runs first
- Saves auth state to disk
- Browser projects depend on it



Let's see the code

SWITCHING TO THE IDE

```
bash - wetest-slidev  
  
$ code playwright.config.ts
```

// ⚙️ PLAYWRIGHT CONFIG

```
$ tsc --pattern "support/pages/**/*.*ts"
```



Page Object Model

```
# "Reusable Page Classes for Scalable UI Tests"
```

What is the Page Object Model?



Without POM

Tests contain selectors directly.
The same locator appears in 20 files.
One UI change → update 20 tests.

Fragile · Duplicated · Hard to read



With POM

Each page/component = one class.
Selectors live in one place.
Tests call methods, not locators.

Maintainable · Reusable · Readable

UI changes → update **one class**, not dozens of tests

POM Structure



Page Class

- Constructor receives `page`
- Locators as class properties
- Actions as methods
- No assertions inside



Shared Components

- Navbar, modals, toasts
- Used across page objects
- Composed, not duplicated
- One place to maintain

The test says **"what"** happens. The Page Object knows **"how"** to do it.



Let's see the code

SWITCHING TO THE IDE

```
bash - wetest-slidev

$ cd support/pages/

$ ls

login.page.ts · home.page.ts · base.page.ts
```

// PAGE OBJECT MODEL

```
$ npx playwright test --workers=50%
```

Parallel Tests & Workers

```
# "More Workers, Less Waiting. Scale Without Chaos"
```

Parallelism: Speed With Control

Playwright distributes tests across **workers** with separate processes, each with its own browser instance. No shared state. No interference.



Workers run in parallel

Each worker picks up the next available test. The more workers, the faster the suite capped by your CPU limit.



Full isolation by default

Each worker gets its own browser context. Login state, cookies, and storage are never shared between tests.



Serial when needed

Some flows depend on order: e.g. create → edit → delete. Group those explicitly to run sequentially on a single worker.



Rule of thumb: run more workers on CI where resources are dedicated, fewer locally to keep your machine responsive. Playwright auto-scales to half your CPU cores if you let it.



Let's see the code

SWITCHING TO THE IDE



bash - wetest-slidev

```
$ npx playwright test --workers=50%
```

// ↗ PARALLEL TESTS & WORKERS

```
$ cat tests/.auth/customer.json | jq '.origins | length'
```



Storage State

```
# "Log In Once. Test Everything."
```

The Login Tax



WITHOUT STORAGE STATE

Login on every test

- ✗ Every test logs in
- ✗ Every test waits for auth
- ✗ Every test hits the auth server

100 tests × 3s login

= 5 minutes wasted, every run



WITH STORAGE STATE

Login once, reuse everywhere

- ✓ Login runs **once** before the suite
- ✓ Auth state saved to a JSON file
- ✓ All tests load it instantly

1 login, 100 tests benefit

Setup project → all browser projects

Multiple Roles, Multiple States

REAL APPS HAVE MULTIPLE USER TYPES, SO YOUR FRAMEWORK SHOULD TOO



SETUP PROJECT

Runs once.
Authenticates all roles.
Saves state files.

ADMIN-TESTS PROJECT

Loads admin.json.
All tests start
already authenticated.

BUYER-TESTS PROJECT

Loads buyer.json.
Same pattern,
different permissions.



Let's see the code

SWITCHING TO THE IDE

```
bash - wetest-slidev  
  
$ code tests/.auth/
```

//  STORAGE STATE

```
$ grep -r "beforeAll\|beforeEach\|test.extend" support/
```



Hooks & Fixtures

```
# "Stop Repeating Setup. Inject What Tests Need"
```

Hooks – The Lifecycle of a Test

Suite starts



beforeAll – run once. Expensive setup: seed data, start services, create shared resources that are specific to that test suite.

Each test



beforeEach – reset state, navigate to starting page, prepare fresh context.



 **TEST RUNS**

Each test



afterEach – clear cookies, reset local storage, capture screenshots on failure.

Suite ends



afterAll – cleanup: delete test data, close connections, remove created resources that are specific to that test suite.

DESIGN PRINCIPLE

“Good hooks keep your tests clean, fast, and independent.”

Fixtures – How They Work

Test declares



Declare by name – a test says what it needs in its arguments. No manual setup.

Playwright



Setup runs automatically – Playwright resolves dependencies and prepares the fixture before the test body.



 **TEST RUNS – fixture is ready and injected**

Always



Teardown is guaranteed – cleanup runs after the test, whether it passed or failed.

FIXTURES COMPOSE

A fixture can depend on other fixtures. Playwright resolves the full chain – in order, every time.

```
browser → page → LoginPage
  ↳ homePage
  ↳ chatPage
```

A FIXTURE CAN BE ANYTHING

Page Object

API Client

Auth Context

Test Data

Hooks vs Fixtures – When to Use Which

Hooks

- Scoped to a single `describe` block
- You write both setup *and* cleanup
- Not reusable across files

Best for

Quick, one-off setup that only one test group needs – e.g. navigate to a specific page before each test in a suite

Fixtures

- Defined once, injected anywhere by name
- Playwright guarantees teardown. No leaks
- Composable: fixtures can use other fixtures

Best for

Page objects, API clients, authenticated contexts. Anything shared across multiple test files or the whole team



Reusability

Hooks: file-local
Fixtures: project-wide



Cleanup safety

Hooks: manual
Fixtures: guaranteed



At scale

Hooks: get messy
Fixtures: stay clean

Default to fixtures. Reach for hooks only when the setup truly belongs to one test group.



Let's see the code

SWITCHING TO THE IDE

```
bash - wetest-slidev  
  
$ code support/utils/fixtures/
```

// 📌 HOOKS & FIXTURES

```
$ node support/globalSetup.ts && node support/globalTeardown.ts
```



Global Setup & Teardown

```
# "Prepare the World Once. Then Let Tests Focus on Tests"
```

Before Any Test Runs

Global setup runs once before any test starts



Load Environment

Read .env files
Set process.env
Global then env-specific



Check Infrastructure

Is Docker running?
Is the app reachable?
Start if needed



Reset Database

Fresh migrations
Seed test data
Known starting state



Clean Stale State

Remove old auth files
Clear temp data
Start clean

Global Setup

Environment-level, not test-level · Setup test data · Runs once before all tests

Global Teardown

Restores environment to baseline · Clean test data · Runs once after all tests



Let's see the code

SWITCHING TO THE IDE

```
bash - wetest-slidev  
  
$ code support/globalSetup.ts
```

// 🌐 GLOBAL SETUP & TEARDOWN

```
$ npx playwright test --shard=1/4
```



Sharding

```
# "Split the Suite, Multiply the Speed"
```

What is a Shard?

A shard = one isolated slice of your test suite running on its own machine

WHAT A SHARD IS

- 1 Playwright divides all test files into **N equal buckets** by file order
- 2 Each bucket is assigned an index: `--shard=2/4` means bucket 2 of 4
- 3 Only the tests in that bucket run. The rest are **skipped entirely**

WHERE A SHARD LIVES



Docker

Container per shard



GitHub Actions

Runner per shard



Cloud VM

Ephemeral machine

4 SHARDS IN CI

1 `--shard=1/4` runner

2 `--shard=2/4` runner

3 `--shard=3/4` runner

4 `--shard=4/4` runner

Each runner boots a **full environment** with browsers, deps, app

Why Sharding?

Your suite grows. CI gets slower. Developers wait. Feedback loops break.

Without Sharding

1 machine · all tests · sequential

0 min

500 tests

40 min **x** done

~40 min

blocking your PR

With Sharding (4x)

4 machines · parallel · same tests

shard

1/4

125

shard

2/4

125

shard

3/4

125

shard

4/4

125

~10 min

same confidence · 4x faster

1 shard

~40 min

baseline

2 shards

~20 min

2x faster

4 shards

~10 min

4x faster

8 shards

~5 min

8x faster

Sharding vs Workers – Two Levels of Speed



Workers – within one machine

- → Multiple threads inside one Playwright process
- → Tests run simultaneously, not one by one
- → Each worker gets its own browser context
- → Limited by your machine's CPU cores



Sharding – across machines

- → Splits the suite across multiple CI jobs
- → Each machine runs a slice of the test files
- → No communication between shards
- → Scales beyond what one machine can do



THEY WORK TOGETHER

Each shard can still use multiple workers internally. A suite of 400 tests split into 4 shards gives each machine 100 tests. Then workers on each machine run those 100 in parallel. You get horizontal scale (**shards**) and vertical scale (**workers**) at the same time.

```
$ grep -r "Builder\|Factory\|Facade\|Strategy" support/
```



Design Patterns

```
# "Proven Solutions to Problems Every Test Suite Eventually Hits"
```

Why Design Patterns in Tests?

TEST CODE IS PRODUCTION CODE. IT DESERVES THE SAME RESPECT.



Builder

Construct test data step by step. Readable, no copy-paste objects

USE WHEN

Test data has many optional fields, but you only need a few

BENEFIT

Defaults for everything, override only what matters



Factory

Centralize resource creation. One place to create, one place to clean up

USE WHEN

Creating resources via API for preconditions

BENEFIT

Fast setup, clean teardown, no UI dependency



Facade

Wrap Playwright behind a clean interface. Hide complexity from tests

USE WHEN

Wrapping Playwright API or external services

BENEFIT

Tests stay clean and the complexity stays hidden

✦ Consistent patterns let AI generate code that **fits your framework on the first try**



Let's see the code

SWITCHING TO THE IDE

```
bash - wetest-slidev  
  
$ code support/utils/builders/
```

// 🍪 DESIGN PATTERNS

```
$ cat agent.md | grep -i "pattern\|fixture\|page-object"
```



AI Tips & Tricks

```
# "Teach the AI Your Patterns. It Will Follow Them at Scale"
```

Teaching AI Your Framework

GIVE AI THE RIGHT FILES AND IT GENERATES CODE THAT FITS ON THE FIRST TRY



MCP

Model Context Protocol. Gives AI direct access to tools, APIs, and live data. No copy-pasting context, no stale snapshots.

real-time context for AI tooling



skills.md

Reusable task recipes and playbooks. Step-by-step instructions for common tasks: what to create, where to put it, and which patterns to follow.

reusable task definitions for AI



agent.md

Runtime behaviour config. Autonomy level, tool access, confirmation thresholds and escalation rules. How the agent decides and acts.

runtime behaviour of the AI agent



instructions.md

Project conventions and constraints. Naming rules, architecture patterns and dos and don'ts. Everything AI needs to generate code that fits your codebase.

project conventions AI must follow



AI CONTEXT LOADED



monorepo/

Shared packages across apps. AI has access to the development source code for test generation.

workspace structure AI must respect

The Full Picture



Folder structure

AI knows where to put new tests



Hooks

AI uses lifecycle hooks correctly



Config

AI generates config-aware tests



Global setup & teardown

AI uses clean setup and teardown



Storage state

AI skips writing login boilerplate



Design patterns

AI follows Builder, Factory, Facade



Page Objects

AI uses existing classes



Sharding

Reduces total suite runtime



Fixtures

AI reuses declared fixtures



AI .md files

AI follows your rules by default

Build the foundation. Let AI multiply it.

Key Takeaways



Foundation first

Invest in structure, patterns, and conventions before scaling test count



Isolation always

Storage state, fixtures, and clean setup eliminate 80% of flakiness




AI needs context

agent.md and consistent patterns
turn AI into a multiplier

Σας ευχαριστώ πολύ!

Building a Scalable Test Automation Framework in the Age of AI

Lars de Bruijn

 Github: [@Lars-db](#)

 LinkedIn: [/in/lars-de-bruijn-/](#)

 Company website: [techchamps.io](#)


 Personal website: [arcanaops.io](#)


FRAMEWORK
BLUEPRINT



scan to explore

Marco Maes

 Github: [@marcomaes](#)

 LinkedIn: [/in/marcomaes/](#)

 Company website: [polteq.com](#)

 Personal website: [thetestboss.com](#)

TypeScript

Playwright

AI

Questions? → find us after the talk

FRAMEWORK BLUEPRINT



github.com/Lars-db/WeTest-Framework-Blueprint